

CORISIS

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	- D				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
aniite min	10.210.200	PRETERS	1)	3770.209-(97	

10/12/99

09/416,368

P G BOX 2550

JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI TRASK BRITT & ROSSA

SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110

MMC2/0328

EXAMINER JONES, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

V							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/416,368	CORISIS ET AL.					
omee readin cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Josetta I. Jones	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  State of them any a reply be finnely filled  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the launch of the provision and after SIX (6) the state of the reply and the state of the sta							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)         16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)         17) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2_4.       20) ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368

Art Unit: 2812

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Przano, U.S. Patent No. 5.714.792.

With regard to claim 1, Przano discloses forming a lead frame having a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature<sup>1</sup> electrically isolated from the plurality of conductors (see column 3, lines 6-7, 27-30; column 4, lines 13-16, 33-34; and figure 1); coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die (see column 3, lines 27-30); and encapsulating the semiconductor dies and a portion of the lead frame with an insulating material (see column 4, lines 47-50 and figures 1-2).

With regard to claim 2, Przano discloses removing the at least one alignment feature (see column 4, lines 51-57).

With regard to claim 3, Przano discloses providing a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature (see column 3, lines 6-7, 27-30; column 4, lines 13-16, 33-34; and figure 1); coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a

Examiner has interpreted an alignment feature to include a tie bar and die support bar. This interpretation is based upon the applicant's definition of an alignment feature (see specification at 9) in which the "alignment portion 422 includes a tie bar 424 and also other parts of the lead frame 420 which provide internal support to the integrated circuit package."

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368 Art Unit: 2812

semiconductor die (see column 3, lines 27-30); and encompassing the semiconductor die, the at least one alignment feature, and a portion of each of the plurality of conductors with an insulating material (see column 4, lines 47-50 and figures 1-2).

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 4 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 3, and 5 of U. S. Patent No. 6,048,744 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: providing a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature; electrically coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die; encompassing the semiconductor die, the at least one alignment feature, and a portion of each of the plurality of conductors with an insulating material;

coupling the at least one alignment feature encompassed by the insulating material with a portion of a testing device; and testing the integrated circuit package through at least some of the electrically coupled conductors.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP \$ 804.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Josetta I. Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-5871. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-5:30 and alt. Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F. Niebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Josetta I. Jones March 23, 2001 RICHARD BOOTH PRIMARY EXAMINER